



RULE-MAKING ORDER

CR-103P (May 2009)
(Implements RCW 34.05.360)

Agency: Office of the Insurance Commissioner

Permanent Rule Only

Effective date of rule:

Permanent Rules

31 days after filing.

Other (specify) _____ (If less than 31 days after filing, a specific finding under RCW 34.05.380(3) is required and should be stated below)

Any other findings required by other provisions of law as precondition to adoption or effectiveness of rule?

Yes **No** If Yes, explain:

Purpose: This rule extends the deadline for filings through 2016 for issuers to not substitute essential health benefits that differ from a benefit or benefits in the benchmark plan within a category in individual and small group health plans.

Insurance Commissioner Matter No. R 2013-28

Citation of existing rules affected by this order:

Repealed:

Amended: WAC 284-43-877

Suspended:

Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 48.02.060 and 48.43.715

Other authority:

PERMANENT RULE (Including Expedited Rule Making)

Adopted under notice filed as WSR 14-03-128 on January 22, 2014.

Describe any changes other than editing from proposed to adopted version: None

If a preliminary cost-benefit analysis was prepared under RCW 34.05.328, a final cost-benefit analysis is available by contacting:

Name: Kate Reynolds

phone (360) 725-7170

Address: PO Box 40258, Olympia, WA

fax (360) 586-3109

98504-0258

e-mail kater@oic.wa.gov

Date adopted:

March 3, 2014

NAME (TYPE OR PRINT)

Mike Kreidler

SIGNATURE

TITLE

Insurance Commissioner

CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER
STATE OF WASHINGTON
FILED

DATE: March 03, 2014

TIME: 10:04 AM

WSR 14-06-069

(COMPLETE REVERSE SIDE)

**Note: If any category is left blank, it will be calculated as zero.
No descriptive text.**

**Count by whole WAC sections only, from the WAC number through the history note.
A section may be counted in more than one category.**

The number of sections adopted in order to comply with:

Federal statute:	New	_____	Amended	<u>1</u>	Repealed	_____
Federal rules or standards:	New	_____	Amended	_____	Repealed	_____
Recently enacted state statutes:	New	_____	Amended	_____	Repealed	_____

The number of sections adopted at the request of a nongovernmental entity:

New	_____	Amended	_____	Repealed	_____
-----	-------	---------	-------	----------	-------

The number of sections adopted in the agency's own initiative:

New	_____	Amended	_____	Repealed	_____
-----	-------	---------	-------	----------	-------

The number of sections adopted in order to clarify, streamline, or reform agency procedures:

New	_____	Amended	_____	Repealed	_____
-----	-------	---------	-------	----------	-------

The number of sections adopted using:

Negotiated rule making:	New	_____	Amended	_____	Repealed	_____
Pilot rule making:	New	_____	Amended	_____	Repealed	_____
Other alternative rule making:	New	_____	Amended	<u>1</u>	Repealed	_____

WAC 284-43-877 Plan design. (1) A nongrandfathered individual or small group health benefit plan offered, issued, or renewed, on or after January 1, 2014, must provide coverage that is substantially equal to the EHB-benchmark plan, as described in WAC 284-43-878, 284-43-879, and 284-43-880.

(a) For plans offered, issued, or renewed for a plan or policy year beginning on or after January 1, 2014, until December 31, ((2015)) 2016, an issuer must offer the EHB-benchmark plan without substituting benefits for the benefits specifically identified in the EHB-benchmark plan.

(b) For plan or policy years beginning on or after January 1, ((2015)) 2017, an issuer may substitute benefits to the extent that the actuarial value of the benefits in the category to which the substituted benefit is classified remains substantially equal to the EHB-benchmark plan.

(c) "Substantially equal" means that:

(i) The scope and level of benefits offered within each essential health benefit category supports a determination by the commissioner that the benefit is a meaningful health benefit;

(ii) The aggregate actuarial value of the benefits across all essential health benefit categories does not vary more than a de minimis amount from the aggregate actuarial value of the EHB-benchmark base plan; and

(iii) Within each essential health benefit category, the actuarial value of the category must not vary more than a de minimis amount from the actuarial value of the category for the EHB-benchmark plan.

(2) An issuer must classify covered services to an essential health benefits category consistent with WAC 284-43-878, 284-43-879, and 284-43-880 for purposes of determining actuarial value. An issuer may not use classification of services to an essential health benefits category for purposes of determining actuarial value as the basis for denying coverage under a health benefit plan.

(3) The base-benchmark plan does not specifically list all types of services, settings and supplies that can be classified to each essential health benefits category. The base-benchmark plan design does not specifically list each covered service, supply or treatment. Coverage for benefits not specifically identified as covered or excluded is determined based on medical necessity. An issuer may use this plan design, provided that each of the essential health benefit categories is specifically covered in a manner substantially equal to the EHB-benchmark plan.

(4) An issuer is not required to exclude services that are specifically excluded by the base-benchmark plan. If an issuer elects to cover a benefit excluded in the base-benchmark plan, the issuer must not include the benefit in its essential health benefits package for purposes of determining actuarial value. A health benefit plan must not exclude a benefit that is specifically included in the base-benchmark plan.

(5) An issuer must not apply visit limitations or limit the scope of the benefit category based on the type of provider delivering the service, other than requiring that the service must be within the provider's scope of license for purposes of coverage. This obligation does not require an issuer to contract with any willing provider, nor

is an issuer restricted from establishing reasonable requirements for credentialing of and access to providers within its network.

(6) Telemedicine or telehealth services are considered provider-type services, and not a benefit for purposes of the essential health benefits package.

(7) Consistent with state and federal law, a health benefit plan must not contain an exclusion that unreasonably restricts access to medically necessary services for populations with special needs including, but not limited to, a chronic condition caused by illness or injury, either acquired or congenital.

(8) Unless an age based reference limitation is specifically included in the base-benchmark plan or a supplemental base-benchmark plan for a category set forth in WAC 284-43-878, 284-43-879, or 284-443-880, an issuer's scope of coverage for those categories of benefits must cover both pediatric and adult populations.

(9) A health benefit plan must not be offered if the commissioner determines that:

(a) It creates a risk of biased selection based on health status;

(b) The benefits within an essential health benefit category are limited so that the coverage for the category is not a meaningful health benefit; or

(c) The benefit has a discriminatory effect in practice, outcome or purpose in relation to age, present or predicted disability, and expected length of life, degree of medical dependency, quality of life or other health conditions, race, gender, national origin, sexual orientation and gender identity or in the application of Section 511 of Public Law 110-343 (the federal Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act of 2008).

(10) An issuer must not impose annual or lifetime dollar limits on an essential health benefit, other than those permitted as reference based limitations pursuant to WAC 284-43-878, 284-43-879, and 284-43-880.